

Nusantara Medical Science Journal

Volume 6 Issue 1, January - June 2021

P-ISSN: 2460-9757, E-ISSN: 2597-7288
Nationally Accredited Journal, Decree No. 36/E/KPT/2019.

Original Article

The Effectiveness Between Tamsulosin and Solifenacin Combined with Tamsulosin on Treatment of Ureteral Stent-Related Symptoms

Berry Erida Hasbi¹, Muhammad Asykar Palinrungi², Khoirul Kholis², Syarif Bakri², Syakri Syahrir², Firdaus Kasim³

Corresponding Author

Name: Berry Erida Hasbi

Email: berryeridahasbi@gmail.com

ARTICLE INFO

Keywords:

Ureteral Stend-Related Symptoms; Ureteral Symptoms Score Questionnaire; Tamsulosin; Solifenacin:

How to cite:

Hasbi B., Palinrungi M., Kholis K.,Bakri S., Syahrir S., Kasim F.(2021) The Effectiveness Between Tamsulosin and Solifenacin Combined with Tamsulosin on Treatment of Ureteral Stent-Related Symptoms.

ABSTRACT

Introduction: The clinical usage of the ureteral stent caused several stent-related symptoms (SRSs), including lower urinary tract symptoms, pain, general health, work performance, sexual matter and additional problems. This study aims to evaluate the effectiveness between tamsulosin and solifenacin combined with tamsulosin for SRSs.

Methods: This double blind randomized controlled trial used 50 patients. Between September 2020 and February 2021, patients underwent double-J stenting after retrograde ureteroscopy were analyzed. All patients would be randomized in a 1:1 ratio in a 1:1 ratio to receive either tamsulosin 0,4 mg (Group A: 25 participants) or tamsulosin 0,4 mg & solifenacin 5 mg combination (group B: 25 participants). We used the Ureteral Symptoms Score Questionnaire (USSQ) as an outcome measure at 1^s, 2nd, 3rd and 4th weeks after stent insertion. Results: Group A was found more in women, while in group B was more in men. The highest age in group A and group B was 50-60 years old (36% and 64%). The location of stenting in group A was found more in left (60%), while the group B in the right (52%). Both groups had more patients who were

¹Department of Surgery, Faculty of Medicine, Hasanuddin University, Makassar

²Division of Urology, Department of Surgery, Faculty of Medicine, Hasanuddin University, Makassar

³Biostatistics & Community Medicine, Faculty of Medicine, Hasanuddin University, Makassar

Nusantara Medical Science Journal. 2021; 6(1): 1-17.

DOI:

10.20956/nmsj.v6i1.1 4263 normal nutritional status (60%). There was an improvement in pain and work performance after treatment in both groups, meanwhile group B showed better improvement than group A at all weeks. in addition, there was an improvement in urinary symptoms and sexual matter in group B better than in group A at 2nd to 4th week. Furthermore, group B showed better improvement in general health and additional problem only at 4th week. **Conclusions:** Combination therapy with tamsulosin and solifenacin improved USSQ score more than the monotherapy group. This implied that combination therapy is optimal for improving SRSs.

Copyright © 2021 NMSJ. All rights reserved.

1. INTRODUCTION

Ureteral stent placement is very common procedure performed in urologic practice. With the widespread use of indwelling ureteral stents by urologist for urinary diversion, ureteral obstruction relief, and postoperative drainage, issues related to their use have also increased. Despite the wide clinical usage of the ureteral stent, it causes various stent-related symptoms (SRSs), including lower urinary tract symptoms (LUTS), hematuria, body pain, and sexual problems, and ultimately deteriorates the quality of life (QoL) of patients. Up to 80% of patients report on a reduced QoL as a result of the symptoms arising from ureteral stents and the procedure is associated with considerable economic burden.

To reduce the incidence of SRSs, initial efforts have been made to optimize the physical properties of ureteral stents, such as the material, length, design and position. However, as the stent size and designs to reduce SRSs seem limited, optimal stent is still yet to be developed. Nevertheless, oral pharmacologic treatment, has shown beneficial effects of which alpha-blockers and antimuscarinics were mostly adopted.4 Tamsulosin acts mainly on the urethra, bladder neck and prostate and has a selective blocking effect on smooth muscle in these organs. Tamsolusin can improve LUTS and prevent as well as treat the urinary retention. In addition, tamsulosin can also be used for urinary calculi and adjuvant treatment of male sexual dysfunction. Solifenacin, a muscarinic acetylcholine M3 receptor blocker (M3-blocker), is an anticholinergic drug with high selectivity. It has been suggested that M3 receptors on the bladder detrusor muscle might be the target of this drug. Through inhibiting and blocking the binding of acetylcholine to the M3 receptor, reducing the contractile force of the detrusor, and inhibiting contraction of the detrusor, solifenacin can improve the symptoms of frequent urination and urgency. ⁵ Solifenacin is the first-line therapy for overactive bladder (OAB) symptoms in LUTS. For all these reasons, antimuscarinics combined with alpha-blockers were recommended for storage symptoms of LUTS.6

Lim Kyoung et al reported that combination therapy with tamsulosin and solifenacin improved obstructive and irritative symptoms and quality of life more than in the control group. Therefore, combination therapy with tamsulosin dan solifenacin should be strongly considered for patients who complain of SRSs.⁷ Yan et al also reported that the combined use of antimuscarinics and alpha-blockers results in addictive favorable effects in patients with ureteral stent-related symptoms compared with antimuscarinics

monotherapy. The alpha-blockers may enhace the efficacy of the antimuscarinics, which is beneficial for the treatment of SRSs.⁸ In the last few decades, many studies have researched effectiveness regarding alpha-blocker and antimuscarinic combined therapy compared with alpha-blocker monotherapy. However, the research included different kinds of alpha-blockers and antimuscarinic, and their results are not completely consistent.⁶ Through our research, we aimed to evaluate the effectiveness of tamsulosin and solifenacin combined therapy with tamsulosin monotherapy for SRSs.

2. METHODS

This was a double blind, randomized controlled trial (RCT). According to sample size calculation, 50 patients between September 2020 and February 2021 underwent double-J stenting retrogradely after retrograde ureteroscopy were analyzed. Both male and female, age 20-60 years old, first inserting and unilateral stenting were included in this study. Patients who were diagnosed with hypertension, diabetes mellitus, malignancy, pregnancy and urinary tract infection were excluded from this study.

All participants would be randomized in a 1:1 ratio to receive either tamsulosin 0,4 mg (group A; 25 participants) or tamsulosin 0,4 mg & solifenacin 5 mg combination (group B: 25 participants). We used the Ureteral Symptoms Score Questionnaire (USSQ) to all participants as outcome measure at 1, 2, 3 and 4 weeks after stent inserting.

We hypothesized that medication therapy using tamsulosin and solifenacin combination might be superior to tamsulosin as monotherapy. The *Independent T-test*, repeated ANOVA test, and Friedman test were used for compassion between groups. All statistical analyses were performed using SPSS version 17.0 with p<0.05 indicating satirically significant differences.

3. RESULTS

Group A (tamsulosin) had more women (52%) that Group B (tamsulosin and solifenacin combined therapy) conversely Group B had more men (56%) than Group A. The highest age of Group A and Group B was 50-60 years old (36% and 64%). The location of stenting in group A was found more in left (60%) while the group B in the right (52%). Both groups had more patients who were normal nutritional status (60%) (Table 1).

Table 1. The Characteristics of Patients.

| | Grou | рΑ | Grou | рВ |
|------------------|--------|----|--------|----|
| | Number | % | Number | % |
| Sex | | | | |
| Male | 12 | 48 | 14 | 56 |
| Female | 13 | 52 | 11 | 44 |
| Ages (years old) | | | | |
| 20-29 | 2 | 8 | 2 | 8 |
| 30-39 | 6 | 24 | 1 | 4 |
| 40-49 | 8 | 32 | 6 | 24 |
| 50-60 | 9 | 36 | 16 | 64 |
| Stenting | | | | |
| Right | 10 | 40 | 13 | 52 |

| Left | 15 | 60 | 12 | 48 |
|-------------------|----|----|----|----|
| Nutritional Statu | S | | | |
| Normal | 15 | 60 | 15 | 60 |
| Obesity | 10 | 40 | 10 | 40 |

There was improvement of urinary symptoms after treatment in both groups, meanwhile group B showed better improvement than group A at 2nd to 4th week. Also, there was improvement of pain after treatment in both groups, meanwhile group B showed better improvement than group A at 1st to 4th week.

Table 2. Summary of Ureteral Stent Symptom Score

| Week | Group | Mean ± SD | p-value ^a | p-value ^b | p-value ^c |
|-----------------|-------|----------------------------------|---------------------------------------|----------------------|----------------------|
| Urinary Sym | ptoms | | · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · | | |
| 1 ST | Α | 20.60 ± 4.6 | 0.40 | | |
| 10. | В | 21.48 ± 2.3 | 0.40 | | |
| and | Α | 14.32 ± 4.9 | 0.004 | | |
| 2 nd | В | 6.56 ± 2.4 | 0.001 | | 4 |
| | A | 11.56 ± 4.2 | | 0.001* | 0.001# |
| 3 rd | В | 3.20 ± 1.2 | 0.001 | | |
| | Ā | 8.92 ± 4.8 | | | |
| 4 th | В | 2.52 ± 1.1 | 0.001 | | |
| | Ь | 2.52 ± 1.1 | | | |
| Pain | | | | | |
| 1 ST | Α | 15.36 ± 4.1 | 0.001 | | |
| | В | 19.16 ± 2.3 | | | |
| 2 nd | Ā | 10.76 ± 4.0 | 0.001 | | |
| _ | В | 6.80 ± 1.6 | 0.001 | | |
| 3 rd | A | 8.36 ± 3.4 | 0.001 | 0.001* | $0.001^{\#}$ |
| 3 | В | | 0.001 | | |
| 4 th | | 2.04 ± 1.1 | 0.004 | | |
| 4" | A | 5.44 ± 3.9 | 0.001 | | |
| | В | 1.32 ± 0.9 | | | |
| General Hea | alth | | | | |
| 1 ST | A | 6.24 ± 2.5 | 0.07 | | |
| • | В | 7.28 ± 1.4 | 0.01 | | |
| 2 nd | A | 4.24 ± 2.4 | 0.85 | | |
| 2 | В | 4.12 ± 2.3 | 0.00 | | |
| 3 rd | | | 0.12 | 0.001* | $0.001^{\#}$ |
| 3.3 | A | 3.04 ± 2.4 | 0.12 | | |
| 4+h | В | 2.20 ± 1.0 | 0.004 | | |
| 4 th | A | 2.12 ± 2.2 | 0.001 | | |
| | В | 0.72 ± 0.9 | | | |
| Work Perfori | mance | | | | |
| 1 ST | A | 6.40 ± 3.2 | 0.001 | | |
| | В | 6.64 ± 0.9 | | | |
| 2 nd | Ā | 4.96 ± 3.2 | 0.001 | | |
| - | В | 2.76 ± 0.6 | 0.001 | | |
| 3 rd | A | 3.36 ± 2.8 | 0.001 | 0.001* | $0.001^{\#}$ |
| 3 | В | | 0.001 | | |
| 4th | | 1.68 ± 1.7 | 0.004 | | |
| 4 th | A | 2.52 ± 0.5 | 0.001 | | |
| | В | 0.20 ± 0.5 | | | |
| Sexual Matte | ər | | | | |
| 1 ST | Α | 0.72 ± 1.5 | 0.60 | | |
| | В | 1.32 ± 1.4 | | | |
| 2 nd | Ā | 0.72 ± 1.2 | 0.03 | | |
| _ | В | 0.72 ± 1.2 0.40 ± 0.7 | 0.00 | 0.26* | $0.001^{\#}$ |
| 3 rd | | | 0.004 | | |
| 3'~ | A | 0.52 ± 0.9 | 0.001 | | |
| | В | 0.00 ± 0.0 | | | |

| 4 th | A B | 0.44 ± 0.8 0.00 ± 0.0 | 0.001 | | |
|------------------------|--------|----------------------------------|-------|--------|--------|
| Additional Problems | | | | | |
| 1 ST | Α | 7.04 ± 1.3 | 0.10 | | |
| | В | 5.52 ± 1.6 | | | |
| 2 nd | Α | 4.32 ± 1.5 | 0.73 | | |
| | В | 3.88 ± 1.7 | | 0.001* | 0.001# |
| 3^{rd} | Α | 3.84 ± 1.1 | 0.90 | 0.001 | 0.001 |
| | В | 1.48 ± 0.9 | | | |
| 4 th | Α | 3.56 ± 1.4 | 0.02 | | |
| | В | 0.44 ± 0.6 | | | |

^a Comparation between Group A & B using *Independent T-test*, ^bcomparation between week & Group A, comparation between week & Group B*, ^c Repeated ANOVA test, and Friedman test*.

About general health, there was improvement after treatment in both groups and group B showed better improvement than group A only at 4th week. However, there was improvement of work performance after treatment in both groups and group B showed better improvement than group A from 1st to 4th week. Sexual matter improvement after treatment showed better improvement in group B from 2nd to 4th week. Additional problem improvement after treatment showed better improvement in group B from only in the 4th week. Overall, There was an improvement of USSQ scores from 1st to 4th weeks in both group except sexual matter in group A (table 2).

Table 3. Summary of Independent variables for USSQ

| Week | USSQ | Variabel | Mean | Standardized coefficients (p-value) |
|-----------------|------------------------|-----------|---|-------------------------------------|
| 1 st | Pain | Treatment | Group A (15.36 ± 4.19) Group B (19.16 ± 2.32) | 0.515 (0.001) |
| | Additional Problems | Treatment | Group A (7.04 ± 0.26) Group B (5.52 ± 1.64) | -0.422 (0.003) |
| 2 nd | Urinary Symptoms | Treatment | Group A(14.32 ± 4.95) Group B (6.56 ± 2.43) | -0.700 (0.001) |
| | Pain | Treatment | Group A (10.76 ± 4.07) Group B (6.80 ± 0.32) | -0.492 (0.001) |
| | Work Performance | Treatment | Group A (5.17 ± 3.17) Group B (2.76 ± 0.13) | -0.432 (0.004) |
| $3^{\rm rd}$ | Urinary Symptoms | Treatment | Group A (3.04 ± 0.48) Group B (3.20 ± 1.22) | -0.774 (0.001) |
| | Pain | Treatment | Group A (8.36 ± 3.50) Group B (2.04 ± 0.23) | -0.790 (0.001) |
| | | Procedure | Right stent (6.00 \pm 4.66) Ledt stent (4.33 \pm 3.28) | -0.205 (0.025) |
| | Sexual Matter | Treatment | Group A (0.91 ± 0.37) Group B (0.00 ± 0.00) | -0.429 (0.001) |
| | | Gender | Male (0.42 ± 0.95) Female (0.08 ± 0.28) | -0.278 (0.031) |
| | | Obesity | Normal (0.38 ± 0.18) Obes (0.12 ± 0.09) | 0.275 (0.033) |

| | Additional Problems | Treatment | Group A (3.84 ± 0.22) Group B (1.48 ± 0.17) | -0.788 (0.001) |
|-----------------|------------------------|-----------|--|----------------|
| 4 th | Urinary Symptoms | Treatment | Group A (8.92 ± 4.83) Group B (2.52 ± 1.12) | -0.659 (0.001) |
| | | Stenting | Right Stent (6.81 ± 5.95) Left Stent (4.54 ± 2.59) | -0.243 (0.022) |
| | Pain | Treatment | Group A (5.44 ± 3.93) Group B (1.32 ± 0.20) | -0.564 (0.001) |
| | General Health | Treatment | Group A (2.12 \pm 0.45) Group B (0.72 \pm 0.20) | -0.318 (0.022) |
| | | Stenting | Right Stent (1.96 ± 2.12) Left Stent (0.83 ± 1.34) | -0.302 (0.024) |
| | Work Performance | Treatment | Group A (2.62 ± 0.62) Group B (0.20 ± 0.10) | -0.443 (0.001) |
| | Sexual Matter | Treatment | Group A (0.73 ± 0.27) Group B (0.00 ± 0.00) | -0.444 (0.001) |
| | | Gender | Male (0.35 ± 0.74) Female (0.08 ± 0.28) | -0.268 (0.037) |
| | | Obesity | Normal (0.31 ± 0.14) Obes (0.12 ± 0.07) | -0.253 (0.049) |
| 0 | Additional Problem | Treatment | Group A (3.56 ± 0.29) Group B (0.44 ± 0.17) | -0.825 (0.001) |

Comparation between Group A & B using Independent T-test

Significant differences were found more frequently at the last week (3rd-4th week) than at the beginning of the week (1st-2nd week) for all variables including gender, age, stenting, and nutritional status (appendix 1-4). For all the existing variables, drug administration, which was the main independent variable in this study, had the greatest influence on all domains at all weeks compared to other significant variables (table 3).

4. DISCUSSIONS

Despite of growing number of studies on SRSs, explicit pathophysiology is still matter of debate. SRSs may be the result of ureteric spasm or trigonal irritation. Pain and lower urinary tract symptoms caused be worsened by the increasing pressure transmitted to the renal pelvis during urination, bladder ischemia and lower ureteric bladder spasm. SRSs may also exacerbate pre-existing subclinical detrusor over-activity and induce overactive bladder symptoms.⁹

Our study revealed that tamsulosin & solifenacin combination (group B) was significantly effective for SRSs with comparable results in tamsulosin group (group A) based on USSQ score, which is regarded as the best questionnaire for assessing SRS at present. Jian Zhongyu et al demonstrated that combination of tamsulosin and solifenacin had highest probability to the best intervention for SRSs. This combination might had a synergistic effect, owing to simultaneous inhibition of receptors on smooth muscle located in bladder neck region, lower segment of ureter and detrusor. Alphablockers had been proved able to inhibit ureteral contractility in decreased peak ureteral

contraction pressures, which may prevent continuously contracted state of the ureteral smooth muscle caused by the indwelling stent, resulting in ureter dilatation and improvement in drainage. Therefore, alpha-blockers, by reducing muscle spasm and vesicoureteric reflux, can effectively release body pain. With inhibitive effect on Muscarinic-receptors of detrusor smooth muscle cell, solifenacin may be able to handle these symptoms more effectively. Solifenacin had the ability to inhibit abnormal activity of bladder smooth muscle and decreased local contractions of the detrusor.¹⁰

Regarding USSQ, combination therapy improved urinary symptoms, pain, work performance and sexual matter in almost all weeks. But, general health and additional problem were only improved in 4th week. Yan et al analyzed that alpha-blocker plus antimuscarinics are superior to monotherapy for treatment ureteral stend-related symptoms. Six studies including 483 patients compared the combination therapy of alpha-blockers and antimuscarinics with monotherapy in the treatment of SRSs. Combination therapy improved the pain and work performances score.⁸

There was no reported of side effects both combination and monotherapy in this study. Lim Kyoung et al reported, the side effects of combination therapy were minimal. No patients discontinued the medication because of side effects.⁷ Dellis Athanasios et al also showed no patients had to discontinue combination therapy because of side effects or underwent stent removal before the due date.¹¹

There are several limitations in our study, although our study was conducted strictly following the methodology of evidence-based medicine. Firstly, number of samples is limited due to COVID-19 pandemic. Secondly, different surgical treatments would also lead to heterogeneity. For example, patients receiving ESWL or ureteroscopic lithotripsy tended to have less trauma, pain and hematuria than those receiving PCNL or open procedures.

5. CONCLUSION

Combination therapy with tamsulosin and solifenacin improved USSQ score more than in monotherapy group. It is implied that combination therapy is optimal to improve SRSs. However, further large-scale & prospective study are needed to get more accurate information.

6. ACKNOWLEDGMENTS

This study was supported by Department of Surgery, Faculty of Medicine, Hasanuddin University, Makassar. We thank to Muhammad Asykar Palinrungi M.D, Khoirul Kholis M.D, Syarif Bakri M.D,Ph.D, Syakri Syahrir M.D, Ph.D from Division of Urolory, Department of Surgery, Faculty of Medicine, Hasanuddin University and Firdaus Kasim, M.Sc from Biostatistics & Community Medicine, Department of Surgery, Faculty of Medicine, Hasanuddin University, Makassar, for their participation in data acquisition for this study.

REFERENCES

 Ahallal Youness, Khallouk Abdelhak, Jamal Mohammed, Hassan farih (2010). Risk Factor Analysis and Management of Ureteral Double-J Stent Complications. Rev Urol. 2010 Spring-Summer; 12 (2-3): e147.

- 2. Park Jinsung et al (2015). A critical assessment of the effect of tamsulosin dan solifenacin as monotherapies and as a combination therapy for the treatment of ureteral stent-related symptoms: a 2 x 2 factorial randomized trial. World Journal of urology. Published March 26 2015. DOI 10.1007/s00345-015-1544-1
- 3. Betschart Patrick, et al (2017). Prevention and treatment of symptoms associated with indwelling ureteral stents: a systematic review. International Journal of Urology 24.4 (2017): 250-259.
- Wang Jue et al. (2017). The role of solifenacin, as monotherapy or combination with tamsulosin in ureteral stent-relates symptoms: a systemativ review and meta-analysis. World Journal of Urology. Published online 26 May 2017. DOI 10.1007/s00345-017-2051-3
- Song, Y., Chen, G., Huang, P., Hu, C., & Liu, X. (2020). Effects of Tamsulosin Combined With Solifenacin on Lower Urinary Tract Symptoms: Evidence From a Systematic Review, Meta-Analysis, and Trial Sequential Analysis of Randomized Controlled Trials. Frontiers in Pharmacology, 11. doi:10.3389/fphar.2020.00763
- Gong, M., Dong, W., Huang, G., Gong, Z., Deng, D., Qiu, S., & Yuan, R. (2015). Tamsulosin combined with solifenacin versus tamsulosin monotherapy for male lower urinary tract symptoms: a meta-analysis. Current Medical Research and Opinion, 31(9), 1781–1792. doi:10.1185/03007995.2015.1074067
- Lim Kyoung et al (2011). Effect of tamsulosin, solifenacin dan combination therapy for the treatment of ureteral stent related discomforts. Department of Urology, Hangyang University College of Medicine, Seoul, Korea. Korean Journal of Urology. DOI: 10.4111/kju.2011.52.7.485
- 8. Yan, H., Wang, Y., Sun, R., & Cui, Y. (2016). The Efficacy of Antimuscarinics Alone or in Combination with Alpha-Blockers for the Treatment of Ureteral Stent-Related Symptoms: A Systematic Review and Meta-Analysis. Urologia Internationalis, 99(1), 6–13. doi:10.1159/000449390
- 9. Gao Yiyang et al (2019). Comparison of Alpha-Blockers and Antimuscarinics in Improving Ureteral Stent-Related Symptoms: A Meta-Analysis. Urol J 2019 Jun 17;16(3):307-311. doi: 10.22037/uj.v0i0.4142.
- 10. Jian Zhongyu et al (2018). Combination of solifenacin dan tamsulosin may provide additional benefical effects for ureteral stent-related symptoms-outcomes from a network meta-analysis. World Journal of Urology. Published online: 20 July 2018. https://doi.org/10.1007/s0034
- 11. Dellis Athanasios et al (2017). Tamsulosin, solifenacin and their combination for the treatment of stent-related symptoms: a randomized controlled study. National and Kapodistrian Universoty of Athens, School of medicine, Aretaieion Hospital. Journal of Endourology. DOI: 10.1089/end.2016.0663.

Conflict of Interest Statement:

The author declares that the research was conducted in the absence of any commercial or financial relationships that could be construed as a potential conflict of interest.

Copyright © 2021 NMSJ. All rights reserved.

Appendix 1. USSQ Score by Gender

| | | | 1 st week | | | 2 nd week | | | 3 rd week | | | 4 th week | |
|---------------------|---------|-----------------|----------------------|---------|-----------------|----------------------|---------|-----------------|----------------------|---------|----------------|----------------------|---------|
| USSQ | | Group A | Group B | p-value | Group A | Group B | p-value | Group A | Group B | p-value | Group A | Group B | p-value |
| Urinary Symptoms | Overall | 20.60± 4.65 | 21.48 ± 2.31 | 0.400 | 14.32 ± 4.95 | 6.56 ± 2.43 | 0.000 | 11.56 ± 4.28 | 3.20 ± 1.22 | 0.000 | 8.92 ± 4.83 | 2.52 ± 1.12 | 0.000 |
| | Male | 20.25 ± 4.99 | 21.29 ± 1.82 | 0.510 | 15.08 ± 5.48 | 7.00 ± 2.45 | 0.000 | 12.58 ± 4.34 | 3.50 ± 1.10 | 0.000 | 9.17 ± 5.11 | 2.71 ± 0.99 | 0.000 |
| | Female | 20.92 ± 4.50 | 21.73 ± 2.90 | 0.600 | 13.61 ± 4.50 | 6.00 ± 2.41 | 0.000 | 10.61 ± 4.17 | 2.82 ± 1.33 | 0.000 | 8.70 ± 4.75 | 2.27 ± 1.27 | 0.000 |
| | p-value | 0.730 | 0.660 | | 0.494 | 0.230 | | 0.273 | 0.210 | | 0.547 | 0.290 | |
| Pain | Overall | 15.36 ± 4.19 | 19.16 ± 2.32 | 0.00 | 10.76 ± 4.07 | 6.80 ± 0.32 | 0.000 | 8.36 ± 3.50 | 2.04 ± 0.23 | 0.000 | 5.44 ± 3.93 | 1.32 ± 0.20 | 0.000 |
| | Male | 14.50 ± 5.14 | 18.50 ± 2.10 | 0.046 | 10.33 ± 4.73 | 6.64 ± 0.41 | 0.009 | 8.50 ± 4.06 | 1.93 ± 1.27 | 0.000 | 5.58 ± 3.96 | 1.07 ± 0.29 | 0.002 |
| | Female | 16.15 ± 3.08 | 20.00 ± 2.41 | 0.003 | 11.15 ± 0.97 | 7.00 ± 1.73 | 0.002 | 8.23 ± 3.06 | 2.18 ± 1.08 | 0.000 | 5.30 ± 4.07 | 1.64 ± 0.81 | 0.007 |
| | p-value | 0.299 | 0.173 | | 0.784 | 0.608 | | 0.854 | 0.688 | | 0.865 | 0.172 | |
| General Health | Overall | 6.24 ± 2.50 | 7.28 ± 0.29 | 0.103 | 4.24 ± 0.47 | 4.12 ± 0.47 | 0.774 | 3.04 ± 0.48 | 2.20 ± 0.22 | 0.237 | 2.12 ± 0.45 | 0.72 ± 0.20 | 0.012 |
| | Male | 6.08 ± 2.43 | 7.21 ± 0.38 | 0.417 | 4.33 ± 2.53 | 5.07 ± 0.68 | 0.529 | 3.17 ± 1.90 | 2.28 ± 0.30 | 0.185 | 2.08 ± 1.83 | 0.71 ± 0.19 | 0.037 |
| | Female | 6.38 ± 2.66 | 7.63 ± 0.47 | 0.185 | 4.15 ± 0.65 | 2.90 ± 0.41 | 0.162 | 2.92 ± 0.81 | 2.09 ± 0.31 | 0.637 | 2.15 ± 0.74 | 0.73 ± 0.38 | 0.097 |
| | p-value | 0.770 | 0.955 | | 0.781 | 0.022 | | 0.455 | 0.862 | | 0.739 | 0.508 | |
| Work Performance | Overall | 6.67 ± 0.61 | 6.64 ± 0.18 | 0.334 | 5.17 ± 3.17 | 2.76 ± 0.13 | 0.004 | 3.50 ± 0.59 | 1.68 ± 0.09 | 0.060 | 2.62 ± 0.62 | 0.20 ± 0.10 | 0.000 |
| | Male | 6.67 ± 3.26 | 6.50 ± 0.23 | 0.386 | 5.33 ± 3.20 | 2.86 ± 0.18 | 0.045 | 4.42 ± 3.15 | 1.50 ± 0.14 | 0.009 | 3.67 ± 3.65 | 0.29 ± 0.16 | 0.001 |

| | Female | 6.67 ± 0.81 | 6.82 ± 0.98 | 0.617 | 5.00 ± 3.27 | 2.64 ± 0.20 | 0.049 | 2.58 ± 0.68 | 1.91 ± 0.91 | 0.844 | 1.58 ± 0.53 | 0.09 ± 0.09 | 0.005 |
|--------------------|---------|----------------|----------------|-------|----------------|----------------|-------|----------------|----------------|-------|----------------|----------------|-------|
| | p-value | 0.953 | 0.400 | | 0.803 | 0.395 | | 0.121 | 0.033 | | 0.122 | 0.390 | |
| Sexual Matter | Overall | 1.64 ± 0.58 | 2.06 ± 1.18 | 0.334 | 1.27 ± 1.35 | 0.62 ± 0.20 | 0.103 | 0.91 ± 0.37 | 0.00 | 0.000 | 0.73 ± 0.27 | 0.00 | 0.000 |
| | Male | 1.28 ± 1.50 | 2.09 ± 1.04 | 0.152 | 1.71 ± 1.50 | 0.64 ± 0.24 | 0.051 | 1.14 ± 1.46 | 0.00 | 0.000 | 0.85 ± 0.40 | 0.00 | 0.000 |
| | Female | 2.25 ± 2.63 | 2.00 ± 1.58 | 0.874 | 0.50 ± 0.28 | 0.60 ± 0.40 | 1.000 | 0.50 ± 0.28 | 0.00 | 0.000 | 0.50 ± 0.28 | 0.00 | 0.000 |
| | p-value | 0.536 | 0.905 | | 0.111 | 0.899 | | 0.326 | | | 0.402 | | |
| Additonal symptoms | Overall | 7.04 ± 0.26 | 5.52 ± 1.64 | 0.000 | 4.32 ± 0.31 | 3.88 ± 1.76 | 0.296 | 3.84 ± 0.22 | 1.48 ± 0.17 | 0.000 | 3.56 ± 0.29 | 0.44 ± 0.17 | 0.000 |
| | Male | 6.83 ± 0.49 | 5.00 ± 1.36 | 0.003 | 4.25 ± 1.96 | 4.14 ± 2.11 | 0.894 | 3.92 ± 1.44 | 1.35 ± 1.01 | 0.000 | 3.17 ± 0.49 | 0.43 ± 0.14 | 0.001 |
| | Female | 7.23 ± 0.23 | 6.18 ± 1.78 | 0.024 | 4.38 ± 0.31 | 3.54 ± 1.21 | 0.171 | 3.77 ± 0.20 | 1.64 ± 0.20 | 0.000 | 3.92 ± 0.31 | 0.45 ± 0.21 | 0.000 |
| | p-value | 0.931 | 0.045 | | 0.552 | 0.757 | | 0.706 | 0.361 | | 0.419 | 0.889 | |

Appendix 2. USSQ Score by Age

| | | | 1 st week | | | 2 nd week | | | 3 rd week | | | 4 th week | |
|------------------|-----------|-----------------|----------------------|---------|-----------------|----------------------|----------------------|-----------------|----------------------|---------|-----------------|----------------------|--------|
| USSQ | | Group A | Group B | p-value | Group A | Group B | p-value ^a | Group A | Group B | p-value | Group A | Group B | p-valu |
| Urinary Symptoms | Overall | 20.60 ± 4.65 | 21.48 ± 2.31 | 0.400 | 14.32 ± 4.95 | 6.56 ± 2.43 | 0.000 | 11.56 ± 4.28 | 3.20 ± 1.22 | 0.000 | 8.92 ± 4.83 | 2.52 ± 1.12 | 0.000 |
| | 20-29 y.o | 19.00 ± 1.00 | 24.00 ± 2.00 | 0.121 | 14.00 ± 3.00 | 4.50 ± 0.50 | 0.121 | 11.00 ± 6.00 | 3.40 ± 0.50 | 0.121 | 7.00 ± 7.00 | 3.50 ± 0.50 | 1.000 |
| | 30-39 y.o | 20.67 ± 3.98 | 19.00 | 0.801 | 13.33 ± 2.42 | 5.00 | 0.130 | 11.83 ± 3.06 | 2.00 | 0.130 | 9.50 ± 2.14 | 2.00 | 0.130 |
| | 40-49 yo | 22.62 ± 4.21 | 21.17 ± 1.33 | 0.382 | 17.25 ± 5.12 | 8.83 ± 2.14 | 0.002 | 14.12 ± 3.94 | 4.00 ± 1.41 | 0.000 | 10.25 ± 5.52 | 2.33 ± 1.03 | 0.005 |
| | 50-60 y.o | 19.11 ± 1.89 | 21.44 ± 2.47 | 0.153 | 12.44 ± 5.60 | 6.06 ± 0.55 | 0.001 | 9.22 ± 1.23 | 2.94 ± 1.12 | 0.000 | 7.78 ± 1.02 | 2.50 ± 1.21 | 0.000 |
| | p-value | 0.330 | 0.359 | | 0.211 | 0.040 | | 0.121 | 0.283 | | 0.890 | 0.594 | |
| Pain | Overall | 15.36 ± 4.19 | 19.16 ± 2.32 | 0.00 | 10.76 ± 4.07 | 6.80 ± 0.32 | 0.000 | 8.36 ± 3.50 | 2.04 ± 0.23 | 0.000 | 5.44 ± 3.93 | 1.32 ± 0.20 | 0.000 |
| | 20-29 y.o | 15.50 ± 1.50 | 18.50 ± 1.50 | 0.221 | 10.00 ± 0.00 | 7.00 ± 1.00 | 0.102 | 4.50 ± 4.50 | 0.00 ± 0.00 | 0.317 | 3.50 ± 3.50 | 0.00 ± 0.00 | 0.317 |
| | 30-39 y.o | 14.33 ± 1.15 | 18.00 ± 0.00 | 0.203 | 11.33 ± 1.23 | 10.00 ± 0.00 | 0.799 | 8.50 ± 0.85 | 2.00 ± 00 | 0.127 | 6.50 ± 0.88 | 1.00 ± 0.00 | 0.130 |
| | 40-49 yo | 16.50 ± 5.01 | 18.67 ± 0.80 | 0.513 | 11.62 ± 5.12 | 6.83 ± 0.70 | 0.038 | 10.25 ± 2.96 | 2.50 ± 0.22 | 0.002 | 7.25 ± 4.40 | 2.00 ± 0.63 | 0.022 |
| | 50-60 y.o | 15.00 ± 4.82 | 19.50 ± 0.64 | 0.018 | 9.78 ± 1.45 | 6.56 ± 0.38 | 0.015 | 7.44 ± 3.61 | 2.12 ± 1.20 | 0.000 | 3.56 ± 1.27 | 1.25 ± 0.25 | 0.244 |
| | p-value | 0.768 | 0.735 | | 0.373 | 0.382 | | 0.240 | 0.140 | | 0.257 | 0.091 | |
| General Health | Overall | 6.24 ± 2.50 | 7.28 ± 0.29 | 0.103 | 4.24 ± 0.47 | 4.12 ± 0.47 | 0.774 | 3.04 ± 0.48 | 2.20 ± 0.22 | 0.237 | 2.12 ± 0.45 | 0.72 ± 0.20 | 0.012 |
| | | | | | | | | | | | | | |

| | 20-29 y.o | 6.50 ± 2.50 | 8.00 ± 0.00 | 1.000 | 6.50 ± 2.50 | 5.00 ± 0.00 | 1.000 | 3.00 ± 1.00 | 2.50 ± 0.50 | 0.683 | 1.50 ± 1.50 | 0.50 ± 0.50 | 0.683 |
|------------------------|-----------|-----------------|----------------|-------|----------------|----------------|-------|----------------|----------------|-------|----------------|----------------|-------|
| | 30-39 y.o | 6.33 ± 3.23 | 18.00 ± 0.00 | 0.317 | 4.33 ± 3.77 | 10.00 ± 0.00 | 0.445 | 3.50 ± 3.94 | 2.00 ± 0.00 | 0.207 | 3.50 ± 3.56 | 1.00 ± 0.00 | 0.799 |
| | 40-49 yo | 6.00 ± 2.20 | 7.83 ± 1.72 | 0.189 | 4.12 ± 1.46 | 4.50 ± 0.81 | 0.946 | 2.87 ± 1.96 | 2.67 ± 1.21 | 0.000 | 1.87 ± 1.73 | 1.00 ± 0.89 | 0.012 |
| | 50-60 y.o | 6.33 ± 2.45 | 6.81 ± 0.30 | 0.433 | 3.78 ± 1.79 | 4.00 ± 0.66 | 0.953 | 2.89 ± 2.08 | 2.12 ± 0.96 | 0.405 | 1.56 ± 0.50 | 0.44 ± 0.16 | 0.029 |
| | p-value | 0.993 | 0.103 | | 0.641 | 0.264 | | 0.989 | 0.279 | | 0.779 | 0.146 | |
| Working Performance | Overall | 6.67 ± 0.61 | 6.64 ± 0.18 | 0.334 | 5.17 ± 3.17 | 2.76 ± 0.13 | 0.004 | 3.50 ± 0.59 | 1.68 ± 0.09 | 0.060 | 2.62 ± 0.62 | 0.20 ± 0.10 | 0.000 |
| | 20-29 y.o | 3.00 ± 3.00 | 7.00 ± 1.00 | 0.221 | 6.00 ± 1.00 | 2.50 ± 0.50 | 0.121 | 6.50 ± 3.50 | 1.50 ± 0.50 | 0.121 | 6.00 ± 6.00 | 0.00 ± 0.00 | 0.317 |
| | 30-39 y.o | 6.17 ± 3.82 | 6.00 ± 0.00 | 0.604 | 4.00 ± 4.15 | 4.00 ± 0.00 | 0.797 | 2.00 ± 2.28 | 2.00 ± 0.00 | 0.799 | 1.88 ± 1.33 | 0.00 ± 0.00 | 0.186 |
| | 40-49 yo | 7.37 ± 2.06 | 6.83 ± 0.75 | 0.511 | 5.75 ± 2.50 | 2.83 ± 0.75 | 0.013 | 4.25 ± 2.60 | 1.83 ± 0.17 | 0.084 | 3.37 ± 2.97 | 0.00 ± 0.00 | 0.010 |
| | 50-60 y.o | 6.44 ± 3.43 | 6.56 ± 0.24 | 0.506 | 4.67 ± 3.74 | 2.68 ± 0.15 | 0.289 | 2.78 ± 0.94 | 1.62 ± 0.12 | 0.952 | 1.44 ± 0.65 | 0.31 ± 0.15 | 0.038 |
| | p-value | 0.557 | 0.753 | | 0.791 | 0.357 | | 0.196 | 0.665 | | 0.577 | 0.465 | |
| Sexual Matter | Overall | 1.64 ± 0.58 | 2.06 ± 1.18 | 0.334 | 1.27 ± 1.35 | 0.62 ± 0.20 | 0.103 | 0.91 ± 0.37 | 0.00 ± 0.00 | 0.000 | 0.73 ± 0.27 | 0.00 ± 0.00 | 0.000 |
| | 20-29 y.o | 0.00 ± 0.00 | 0.00 ± 0.00 | 1.000 | 0.00 ± 0.00 | 0.00 ± 0.00 | 1.000 | 0.00 ± 0.00 | 0.00 ± 0.00 | 1.000 | 0.00 ± 0.00 | 0.00 ± 0.00 | 1.000 |
| | 30-39 y.o | 2.00 ± 2.10 | 4.00 ± 0.00 | 0.445 | 0.83 ± 0.75 | 2.00 ± 0.00 | 0.186 | 0.67 ± 0.21 | 0.00 ± 0.00 | 0.248 | 0.67 ± 0.21 | 0.00 ± 0.00 | 0.258 |
| | 40-49 yo | .0.50 ± 0.50 | 2.17 ± 1.33 | 0.031 | 1.37 ± 0.60 | 1.00 ± 0.63 | 0.892 | 0.87 ± 1.46 | 0.00 ± 0.00 | 0.106 | 0.75 ± 0.41 | 0.00 ± 0.00 | 0.106 |

P-ISSN: 2460-9757, **E-ISSN:** 2597-7288

| | 50-60 y.o | 0.22 ± 0.22 | 1.00 ± 0.28 | 0.064 | 0.22 ± 0.22 | 0.125 ± 0.125 | 0.673 | 0.22 ± 0.22 | 0.00 ± 0.00 | 0.182 | 0.11 ± 0.11 | 0.00 ± 0.00 | 0.182 |
|---------------------|-----------|----------------|----------------|-------|----------------|------------------|-------|----------------|----------------|-------|----------------|----------------|-------|
| | p-value | 0.459 | 0.093 | | 0.099 | 0.009 | | 0.469 | 1.000 | | 0.284 | 1.000 | |
| Additional Problems | Overall | 7.04 ± 0.26 | 5.52 ± 1.64 | 0.000 | 4.32 ± 0.31 | 3.88 ± 1.76 | 0.296 | 3.84 ± 0.22 | 1.48 ± 0.17 | 0.000 | 3.56 ± 0.29 | 0.44 ± 0.17 | 0.000 |
| | 20-29 y.o | 8.00 ± 0.00 | 6.00 ± 0.00 | 0.083 | 6.00 ± 2.00 | 3.50 ± 0.50 | 0.221 | 3.50 ± 0.50 | 2.00 ± 0.00 | 0.102 | 2.00 ± 2.00 | 1.00 ± 1.00 | 0.683 |
| | 30-39 y.o | 7.00 ± 0.89 | 10.00 ± 0.00 | 0.123 | 4.00 ± 0.63 | 2.00 ± 0.00 | 0.116 | 3.67 ± 0.82 | 2.00 ± 0.00 | 0.116 | 3.67 ± 0.49 | 0.00 ± 0.00 | 0.098 |
| | 40-49 yo | 6.50 ± 2.07 | 4.17 ± 1.33 | 0.026 | 3.87 ± 1.46 | 3.17 ± 2.40 | 0.430 | 3.75 ± 0.45 | 1.33 ± 0.82 | 800.0 | 3.37 ± 0.53 | 0.67 ± 0.21 | 0.012 |
| | 50-60 y.o | 7.33 ± 017 | 5.69 ± 0.31 | 0.001 | 4.56 ± 1.33 | 4.31 ± 0.38 | 0.552 | 4.11 ± 0.42 | 1.44 ± 0.24 | 0.000 | 4.00 ± 0.41 | 0.31 ± 0.48 | 0.000 |
| | p-value | 0.302 | 0.044 | | 0.442 | 0.259 | | 0.834 | 0.676 | | 0.776 | 0.360 | |

Appendix 3. USSQ Score by Stenting

| | | | 1 st week | | | 2 nd week | | | 3 rd week | | | 4 th week | |
|------------------|----------------|-----------------|----------------------|---------|-----------------|----------------------|----------------------|-----------------|----------------------|---------|-----------------|----------------------|---------|
| USSQ | | Group A | Group B | p-value | Group A | Group B | p-value ^a | Group A | Group B | p-value | Group A | Group B | p-value |
| Urinary Symptoms | Overall | 20.60± 4.65 | 21.48 ± 2.31 | 0.400 | 14.32 ± 4.95 | 6.56 ± 2.43 | 0.000 | 11.56 ± 4.28 | 3.20 ± 1.22 | 0.000 | 8.92 ± 4.83 | 2.52 ± 1.12 | 0.000 |
| | Right stenting | 21.69 ± 4.82 | 21.69 ± 2.21 | 1.000 | 14.54 ± 4.45 | 6.38 ± 0.58 | 0.000 | 12.92 ± 3.88 | 2.77 ± 1.09 | 0.000 | 11.54 ± 4.94 | 2.08 ± 0.24 | 0.000 |
| | Left stenting | 19.42 ± 4.36 | 21.25 ± 2.49 | 0.222 | 14.08 ± 5.63 | 6.75 ± 0.82 | 0.001 | 10.08 ± 4.36 | 3.67 ± 0.35 | 0.000 | 6.08 ± 2.71 | 3.00 ± 0.35 | 0.001 |
| | p-value | 0.228 | 0.644 | | 0.848 | 0.912 | | 0.100 | 0.047 | | 0.003 | 0.047 | |
| Pain | Overall | 15.36 ± 4.19 | 19.16 ± 2.32 | 0.00 | 10.76 ± 4.07 | 6.80 ± 0.32 | 0.000 | 8.36 ± 3.50 | 2.04 ± 0.23 | 0.000 | 5.44 ± 3.93 | 1.32 ± 0.20 | 0.000 |
| | Right stenting | 16.85 ± 4.08 | 18.54 ± 2.87 | 0.225 | 12.00 ± 3.98 | 6.46 ± 0.53 | 0.000 | 10.08 ± 2.75 | 1.92 ± 1.32 | 0.000 | 7.08 ± 4.27 | 1.23 ± 1.09 | 0.000 |
| | Left stenting | 13.75 ± 3.84 | 19.83 ± 1.34 | 0.000 | 9.42 ± 3.89 | 7.17 ± 0.34 | 0.017 | 6.50 ± 3.34 | 2.17 ± 1.03 | 0.002 | 3.67 ± 2.71 | 1.42 ± 0.26 | 0.044 |
| | p-value | 0.063 | 0.041 | | 0.119 | 0.085 | | 0.008 | 0.754 | | 0.026 | 0.563 | |
| General Health | Overall | 6.24 ± 2.50 | 7.28 ± 0.29 | 0.103 | 4.24 ± 0.47 | 4.12 ± 0.47 | 0.774 | 3.04 ± 0.48 | 2.20 ± 0.22 | 0.237 | 2.12 ± 0.45 | 0.72 ± 0.20 | 0.012 |
| | Right stenting | 6.85 ± 2.73 | 6.92 ± 1.32 | 0.928 | 4.54 ± 0.63 | 4.00 ± 0.56 | 0.329 | 3.85 ± 0.70 | 2.00 ± 1.22 | 0.009 | 3.00 ± 2.38 | 0.92 ± 0.33 | 0.009 |
| | Left stenting | 5.58 ± 2.15 | 7.67 ± 1.56 | 0.024 | 3.92 ± 2.57 | 4.25 ± 2.73 | 0.618 | 2.17 ± 0.61 | 2.42 ± 0.26 | 0.195 | 1.17 ± 0.50 | 0.50 ± 0.19 | 0.356 |
| | p-value | 0.063 | 0.205 | | 0.330 | 0.718 | | 0.049 | 0.491 | | 0.021 | 0.402 | |
| Work Performance | Overall | 6.67 ± 0.61 | 6.64 ± 0.18 | 0.334 | 5.17 ± 3.17 | 2.76 ± 0.13 | 0.004 | 3.50 ± 0.59 | 1.68 ± 0.09 | 0.060 | 2.62 ± 0.62 | 0.20 ± 0.10 | 0.000 |

P-ISSN: 2460-9757, **E-ISSN:** 2597-7288

| | Right stenting | 6.23 ± 0.86 | 6.92 ± 0.21 | 0.895 | 4.69 ± 3.40 | 2.85 ± 0.19 | 0.113 | 3.54 ± 0.78 | 1.85 ± 0.10 | 0.356 | 2.38 ± 0.70 | 0.31 ± 0.17 | 0.009 |
|---------------------|----------------|----------------|----------------|-------|----------------|----------------|-------|----------------|----------------|-------|----------------|----------------|-------|
| | Left stenting | 6.58 ± 3.42 | 6.33 ± 0.98 | 0.812 | 5.25 ± 3.25 | 2.67 ± 0.18 | 0.045 | 3.17 ± 0.89 | 1.50 ± 0.15 | 0.209 | 2.67 ± 1.02 | 0.08 ± 0.08 | 0.001 |
| | p-value | 0.639 | 0.141 | | 0.662 | 0.507 | | 0.741 | 0.069 | | 0.955 | 0.306 | |
| Sexual Matter | Overall | 1.64 ± 0.58 | 2.06 ± 1.18 | 0.334 | 1.27 ± 1.35 | 0.62 ± 0.20 | 0.103 | 0.91 ± 0.37 | 0.00 | 0.000 | 0.73 ± 0.27 | 0.00 | 0.000 |
| | Right stenting | 0.69 ± 0.47 | 1.31 ± 0.36 | 0.064 | 0.38 ± 0.24 | 0.38 ± 0.21 | 0.972 | 0.15 ± 0.10 | 0.00 ± 0.00 | 0.149 | 0.12 ± 0.10 | 0.00 ± 0.00 | 0.149 |
| | Left stenting | 0.75 ± 0.37 | 1.33 ± 0.43 | 0.315 | 1.08 ± 0.39 | 0.42 ± 0.19 | 0.243 | 0.92 ± 0.36 | 0.00 ± 0.00 | 0.006 | 0.75 ± 0.28 | 0.00 ± 0.00 | 0.006 |
| | p-value | 0.618 | 0.099 | | 0.406 | 0.304 | | 0.120 | 1.000 | | 0.153 | 1.000 | |
| Additional Problems | Overall | 7.04 ± 0.26 | 5.52 ± 1.64 | 0.000 | 4.32 ± 0.31 | 3.88 ± 1.76 | 0.296 | 3.84 ± 0.22 | 1.48 ± 0.17 | 0.000 | 3.56 ± 0.29 | 0.44 ± 0.17 | 0.000 |
| | Right stenting | 7.15 ± 0.22 | 5.46 ± 1.76 | 0.002 | 4.23 ± 0.34 | 3.54 ± 1.85 | 0.272 | 3.92 ± 0.21 | 1.31 ± 0.29 | 0.000 | 4.00 ± 0.27 | 0.31 ± 0.17 | 0.000 |
| | Left stenting | 6.92 ± 0.50 | 5.58 ± 1.56 | 0.015 | 4.42 ± 1,88 | 4.25 ± 1.66 | 0.820 | 3.75 ± 1.42 | 1.67 ± 0.18 | 0.000 | 3.08 ± 1.73 | 0.58 ± 0.15 | 0.002 |
| | p-value | 0.954 | 0.538 | | 0.755 | 0.417 | | 0.542 | 0.430 | | 0.106 | 0.129 | |

Appendix 4. USSQ Score by Nutritional Status

| | | | 1 st week | | | 2 nd week | | | 3 rd week | | | 4 th week | |
|------------------|---------|-----------------|----------------------|---------|-----------------|----------------------|---------|-----------------|----------------------|---------|----------------|----------------------|---------|
| USSQ | | Group A | Group B | p-value | Group A | Group B | p-value | Group A | Group B | p-value | Group A | Group B | p-value |
| Urinary Symptoms | Overall | 20.60± 4.65 | 21.48 ± 2.31 | 0.400 | 14.32 ± 4.95 | 6.56 ± 2.43 | 0.000 | 11.56 ± 4.28 | 3.20 ± 1.22 | 0.000 | 8.92 ± 4.83 | 2.52 ± 1.12 | 0.000 |
| | Normal | 19.54 ± 5.86 | 21.47 ± 2.39 | 0.248 | 13.54 ± 4.88 | 6.07 ± 0.60 | 0.000 | 10.18 ± 3.68 | 3.00 ± 1.41 | 0.000 | 7.91 ± 2.98 | 2.13 ± 0.26 | 0.000 |
| | Obes | 21.43 ± 4.48 | 21.50 ± 2.32 | 0.960 | 14.93 ± 5.09 | 7.30 ± 0.79 | 0.000 | 12.64 ± 4.53 | 3.50 ± 0.37 | 0.000 | 9.71 ± 5.89 | 3.10 ± 0.35 | 0.001 |
| | p-value | 0.332 | 0.973 | | 0.498 | 0.135 | | 0.148 | 0.212 | | 0.331 | 0.037 | |
| Pain | Overall | 15.36 ± 4.19 | 19.16 ± 2.32 | 0.00 | 10.76 ± 4.07 | 6.80 ± 0.32 | 0.000 | 8.36 ± 3.50 | 2.04 ± 0.23 | 0.000 | 5.44 ± 3.93 | 1.32 ± 0.20 | 0.000 |
| | Normal | 14.36 ± 4.61 | 19.40 ± 2.41 | 0.006 | 9.54 ± 4.41 | 6.87 ± 0.46 | 0.033 | 7.54 ± 3.36 | 2.07 ± 0.27 | 0.000 | 4.73 ± 3.16 | 1.40 ± 0.27 | 0.006 |
| | Obes | 16.14 ± 3.82 | 18.80 ± 2.25 | 0.052 | 11.71 ± 3.67 | 6.70 ± 0.45 | 0.001 | 9.00 ± 3.59 | 2.00 ± 1.41 | 0.000 | 6.00 ± 4.49 | 1.20 ± 0.29 | 0.022 |
| | p-value | 0.315 | 0.714 | | 0.137 | 0.908 | | 0.309 | 0.907 | | 0.415 | 0.637 | |
| General Health | Overall | 6.24 ± 2.50 | 7.28 ± 0.29 | 0.103 | 4.24 ± 0.47 | 4.12 ± 0.47 | 0.774 | 3.04 ± 0.48 | 2.20 ± 0.22 | 0.237 | 2.12 ± 0.45 | 0.72 ± 0.20 | 0.012 |
| | Normal | 6.18 ± 2.18 | 7.27 ± 0.36 | 0.167 | 3.82 ± 0.60 | 3.87 ± 0.66 | 0.937 | 2.82 ± 2.14 | 1.73 ± 0.25 | 0.262 | 1.64 ± 0.62 | 0.80 ± 0.30 | 0.331 |
| | Obes | 6.28 ± 2.81 | 7.30 ± 1.64 | 0.497 | 4.57 ± 0.72 | 4.50 ± 2.07 | 0.784 | 3.21 ± 0.73 | 2.90 ± 0.28 | 0.952 | 2.50 ± 0.64 | 0.60 ± 0.22 | 0.016 |
| | p-value | 0.918 | 0.931 | | 0.314 | 0.336 | | 0.824 | 0.009 | | 0.345 | 0.903 | |
| Work Performance | Overall | 6.67 ± 0.61 | 6.64 ± 0.18 | 0.334 | 5.17 ± 3.17 | 2.76 ± 0.13 | 0.004 | 3.50 ± 0.59 | 1.68 ± 0.09 | 0.060 | 2.62 ± 0.62 | 0.20 ± 0.10 | 0.000 |

P-ISSN: 2460-9757, **E-ISSN:** 2597-7288

| | Normal | 6.73 ± 3.58 | 6.53 ± 0.29 | 0.331 | 4.54 ± 3.84 | 3.00 ± 0.17 | 0.469 | 2.54 ± 2.42 | 1.73 ± 0.12 | 0.532 | 1.45 ± 0.39 | 0.20 ± 0.11 | 0.004 |
|---------------------|----------------------|----------------|----------------|-------|----------------|----------------|-------|----------------|----------------|-------|----------------|----------------|-------|
| | Obes | 6.14 ± 0.79 | 6.80 ± 0.13 | 0.759 | 5.28 ± 2.89 | 2.40 ± 0.16 | 0.010 | 4.00 ± 3.16 | 1.60 ± 0.16 | 0.131 | 3.36 ± 0.98 | 0.20 ± 0.20 | 0.004 |
| | p-value | 0.259 | 0.480 | | 0.841 | 0.027 | | 0.302 | 0.493 | | 0.470 | 0.602 | |
| Sexual Matter | Overall | 1.64 ± 0.58 | 2.06 ± 1.18 | 0.334 | 1.27 ± 1.35 | 0.62 ± 0.20 | 0.103 | 0.91 ± 0.37 | 0.00 | 0.000 | 0.73 ± 0.27 | 0.00 | 0.000 |
| | Normal | 0.73 ± 0.41 | 1.33 ± 0.40 | 0.235 | 1.09 ± 0.43 | 0.40 ± 0.19 | 0.209 | 0.91 ± 0.39 | 0.00 ± 0.00 | 0.005 | 0.73 ± 0.30 | 0.00 ± 0.00 | 0.005 |
| | Obes | 0.71 ± 0.43 | 1.30 ± 0.37 | 0.104 | 0.43 ± 0.23 | 0.40 ± 0.22 | 0.941 | 0.21 ± 0.11 | 0.00 ± 0.00 | 0.126 | 0.21 ± 0.11 | 0.00 ± 0.00 | 0.126 |
| | p-value ^b | 0.630 | 0.578 | | 0.377 | 0.859 | | 1.720 | 1.000 | | 0.245 | 1.000 | |
| Additional Problems | Overall | 7.04 ± 0.26 | 5.52 ± 1.64 | 0.000 | 4.32 ± 0.31 | 3.88 ± 1.76 | 0.296 | 3.84 ± 0.22 | 1.48 ± 0.17 | 0.000 | 3.56 ± 0.29 | 0.44 ± 0.17 | 0.000 |
| | Normal | 6.91 ± 0.51 | 5.67 ± 0.43 | 0.004 | 4.09 ± 1.58 | 3.53 ± 1.68 | 0.347 | 3.82 ± 1.47 | 1.73 ± 0.21 | 0.000 | 3.54 ± 1.63 | 0.40 ± 0.16 | 0.000 |
| | Obes | 7.14 ± 0.95 | 5.30 ± 1.64 | 0.007 | 4.50 ± 0.41 | 4.40 ± 1.84 | 0.808 | 3.86 ± 0.20 | 1.10 ± 0.28 | 0.000 | 3.57 ± 0.36 | 0.50 ± 0.17 | 0.000 |
| | p-value ^b | 0.726 | 0.627 | | 0.711 | 0.278 | | 0.861 | 0.089 | | 0.772 | 0.519 | |