Can AI Surpass Human Capabilities in Education? Exploring the Limits and Possibilities

Ainaya Aulia[1], Audrey Areta Ardelia[2], Devi Triani[3], Vivi Anabella[4], Graceylla Ayu Nataliae Saranga[5], Ayuni[6,] Rina Juwita[7]

[1]Communication Studies Dept., Faculty of Social and Political Science, Universitas Mulawarman, Samarinda, Indonesia

Email: ainayasauliaa@gmail.com

[2]Communication Studies Dept., Faculty of Social and Political Science, Universitas Mulawarman, Samarinda, Indonesia

Email: Audreyaudrey27@gmail.com

[3]Communication Studies Dept., Faculty of Social and Political Science, Universitas Mulawarman, Samarinda, Indonesia

Email: Devitriana2005@gmail.com

[4]Communication Studies Dept., Faculty of Social and Political Science, Universitas Mulawarman, Samarinda, Indonesia

Email: viannabellaaa@gmail.com

[5]Communication Studies Dept., Faculty of Social and Political Science, Universitas Mulawarman, Samarinda, Indonesia

Email: ngraceylla@gmail.com

[6*]Communication Studies Dept., Faculty of Social and Political Science, Universitas Mulawarman, Samarinda, Indonesia

Email: Ayuniafriani4@gmail.com

[7]Communication Studies Dept., Faculty of Social and Political Science, Universitas Mulawarman, Samarinda, Indonesia

Email: rinajuwita@fisip.unmul.ac.id

Abstract

As Artificial Intelligence (AI) continues to evolve, the question of whether AI can surpass human capabilities becomes increasingly relevant. This paper explores the potential and limitations of AI in replicating and exceeding human intelligence in the field of education, from cognitive tasks and decision making to creativity and emotional intelligence. While AI systems have demonstrated superiority in specific areas such as data processing, pattern recognition, and performing repetitive tasks, they face significant challenges in emulating uniquely human attributes like intuition, empathy, and ethical judgement. Through a systematic literature review, this research delves into the future possibilities of AI's development, examining whether technological advancements could lead to machines with the capacity to outperform humans in all aspects of intelligence. Moreover, the paper addresses the ethical implications of such advancements, including concerns about job displacement, human-AI collaboration, and the potential risks of AI autonomy. Through a balanced analysis, this paper argues that while AI may complement and enhance human capabilities, it is unlikely to fully replicate or replace the diverse range of human intelligence and creativity in the foreseeable future.

Keywords: Artificial Intelligence; AI Limitations; AI Ethics; Human Capabilities; Machine Learning

1. Introduction

The rapid advancement of Artificial Intelligence (AI) has ushered in a transformative era, permeating numerous fields, including education. This evolution is marked by the integration of AI-driven technologies that enhance efficiency, personalize learning experiences, and catalyze innovation in educational practices. The roots of AI development trace back to basic computational algorithms that have now matured into advanced systems capable of performing intricate tasks that once seemed exclusive to human intellect (Wooldridge, 2021). As we witness this unprecedented growth, pivotal questions emerge regarding AI's potential to not only replicate but potentially surpass human cognitive capabilities.

In education, AI applications range from adaptive learning platforms to automated grading systems, proving that AI can indeed outperform humans in tasks that require consistency, data processing, and large-scale pattern recognition (Halkiopoulos & Gkintoni, 2024). Yet, these successes invite deeper scrutiny into whether AI can extend its dominance beyond mechanical and cognitive tasks to areas that require nuanced human attributes, such as creativity, empathy, and ethical decision-making. Current literature acknowledges the unmatched efficiency of AI in handling structured data, yet it also points to significant limitations in achieving a true replication of the human mind's adaptive and context-sensitive nature (Markham et al., 2018).

A particularly contentious aspect of AI's development pertains to creativity and emotional intelligence. While AI systems, such as generative algorithms, have demonstrated capabilities in producing creative outputs like art and music, these achievements are often debated for their lack of genuine creative intent and context understanding (Anantrasirichai & Bull, 2022). Similarly, AI's attempts to emulate emotional intelligence remain rudimentary. Despite advancements in natural language processing (NLP) and machine learning models that simulate empathetic responses, true emotional intelligence necessitates an intricate understanding of human experiences and values that AI currently lacks (Singh et al., 2024). This raises the essential question: can AI progress to a stage where it comprehensively encompasses these deeper, uniquely human capabilities?

This study delves into the theoretical and practical boundaries of AI in relation to human intelligence. It highlights that while AI is adept at augmenting human productivity and supporting decision-making processes through enhanced data analytics, it falters when faced with tasks that require moral judgements or empathetic reasoning (George, 2024). The ability to apply intuition and subjective judgement, especially in complex social or ethical situations, continues to set humans apart from even the most sophisticated AI systems. This distinction is particularly significant in education, where teachers not only impart knowledge but also mentor, inspire, and adapt teaching methods to suit diverse student needs -abilities that remain beyond the scope of AI's current and foreseeable capabilities.

Understanding the limits of AI's potential prompts an investigation into the broader ethical and societal implications of its expansion. Concerns surrounding job displacement, biases in algorithmic decision-making, and issues of autonomy are ever more pressing as AI systems gain agency in various sectors (Scherer, 2015). In education, the potential for AI to replace certain roles or create dependency on automated systems necessitates a dialogue about how best to integrate these technologies without eroding the inherently human components of teaching and learning.

Despite these challenges, the benefits of human-AI collaboration are profound. AI's capacity to manage vast amounts of data can empower educators to make informed decisions, personalize learning at an unprecedented scale, and free up time for more complex educational engagements that require human interaction. This synergy presents a future where AI acts as an enhancer rather than a replacer of human intelligence (Hassani et al., 2020). However, ensuring that such integration aligns with ethical principles and amplifies human potential without undermining it requires comprehensive governance and ongoing critical assessments.

This paper aims to address these fundamental concerns by evaluating the extent to which AI might surpass or merely complement human intelligence, particularly within educational contexts. The core argument presented is that, while AI systems can surpass human abilities in specific, narrowly defined tasks, they are unlikely to replicate the full spectrum of human intelligence. The study contributes to discourse by integrating insights from both the capabilities and ethical implications of AI, positing that AI's role should be seen as supportive rather than entirely substitutive.

As the boundary between human and artificial intelligence continues to blur, understanding both the capabilities and limitations of AI is critical. This work positions itself within the broader body of literature by advancing the argument that the trajectory of AI should not aim for mere replication of human intelligence but rather for the development of technologies that complement and enhance human efforts. As we move forward ensuring that AI development is aligned with societal values will be key to fostering an era where technology and humanity co-evolve in harmony. This research thus sets the stage

for exploring the dynamic interplay between AI advancements and human-centric ethics in education and beyond.

2. Methods

In conducting this comprehensive literature review, the authors employed a structured and systematic approach to ensure the inclusion of high-quality and reliable sources relevant to AI capabilities in cognitive tasks, decision-making, creativity, and emotional intelligence within the context of education. The process began with an extensive search in academic databases such as IEEE Xplore, SpringerLink, and ScienceDirect, as well as reputable journals. Keywords used included combinations of "AI in education,", "AI cognitive capabilities," "AI and creativity," "emotional intelligence in AI," and "decision-making in AI systems." These search terms were tailored to retrieve peer-reviewed articles, conference papers, and authoritative book chapters from the last decade, ensuring up-to-date data that analyzed was relevant to current technological development (Brown & Bell, 2018).

Once the data was collected, we utilized an inclusion criterion that emphasized studies focusing on both theoretical and practical applications of AI in formal educational environment settings, specifically upper secondary and higher education levels. Each selected source was meticulously reviewed and coded for thematic elements using qualitative data analysis software, NVivo 12. This coding process facilitated the categorization of findings into subtopics, which included AI's performance in structured cognitive tasks, limitations in replicating creative and emotional intelligence, and ethical implications of AI applications in decision-making processes (Kim et al., 2022). This thematic analysis enabled the comparison of different perspectives and results, allowing for a nuanced synthesis that highlighted key contrasts and convergences in literature.

Finally, cross-referencing was conducted to identify potential gaps and consistencies across studies. Comparative tables were created to visualize differences in research methodologies and outcomes, enhancing the reliability of our interpretations. This methodological rigor ensured that the review provides a balanced and comprehensive overview of existing research and lays the foundation for discussing novel insights into the capabilities and limitations of AI in education (Abulibdeh et al., 2024). The implications of these findings suggest the need to combine AI with human skills to achieve optimal teaching and learning processes in education. By detailing the selection and analysis methods, we aimed to provide readers with clear and transparent pathways to understanding the scope and depth of the current investigation.

3. Results and Discussion

AI Strengths in Education

Table 1. AI in Education Study Summary

Study/Research	Focus	Key Findings
Yufeia et al. (2020)	Optimizing educational structures through tailored content delivery	Improved learning outcomes and tailored pace adjustment
Mousavinasab et al. (2021)	Intelligent Tutoring Systems (ITS) and personalized instruction	Real-time feedback, enhanced engagement, and adaptive learning
Casselman et al. (2021)	Predictive models for student performance and interventions	Proactive student support and targeted resource allocation
Filgueiras (2024)	AI in educational governance and administrative efficiency	Streamlined decision-making, resource management improvements
Chen et al. (2020)	Overview of AI's role in enhancing learning environments and necessary training	Emphasis on educator training and strategic technology integration

Source: Processed by researchers

The integration of AI in education extends beyond mere technological enhancements, influencing the way educators design, implement, and manage learning experiences. Intelligent Tutoring

Systems (ITS), highlighted by (Mousavinasab et al., 2021), showcase AI's capacity to offer customized learning pathways, fostering environments where students receive immediate, personalized support. These systems allow for adaptive learning that matches each student's unique pace and style, promoting deeper engagement and retention. The impact of ITS is not only evident in academic performance but also in fostering self-directed learning, as students become more confident navigating their educational journeys with tailored feedback.

Moreover, the predictive power of machine learning algorithms, as illustrated by (Casselman et al., 2021), exemplifies how AI can revolutionize student performance tracking. By analyzing extensive data on student behaviors and learning patterns, machine learning models such as XGBoost enable educators to preemptively address potential academic challenges. This preemptive approach shifts the focus from reactive to proactive teaching, allowing for timely intervention strategies that prevent students from falling behind. Consequently, educational institutions can optimize their resources and provide targeted support where it is most needed, creating a more equitable and efficient learning environment.

AI's influence also extends to administrative efficiencies, as explored by (Filgueiras, 2024). The use of AI in educational governance enhances decision-making processes through data-driven insights, streamlining everything from curriculum planning to resource management. By automating routine tasks and generating actionable reports, AI frees up valuable time for administrators and educators to focus on student-centered activities. The integration of AI into administrative functions underscores its potential to improve not just teaching, but the overall operational landscape of educational institutions. This holistic enhancement supports a more dynamic and responsive education system that can adapt to evolving needs.

However, these advancements come with the caveat that successful implementation requires thoughtful planning and educator involvement. (Chen et al., 2020) emphasized the importance of comprehensive training programs for educators to ensure that they can effectively utilize AI tools without becoming overly reliant on them. Proper integration also demands a strategy that aligns with educational goals, safeguards data privacy, and preserves the role of educators as key facilitators of learning. Without these critical components, the benefits of AI risk being overshadowed by challenges such as unequal access, potential biases in algorithmic outcomes, and a reliance on technology that may diminish essential human interactions in the learning process.

Limitations and Challenges of AI in Education

Table 2. AI Limitations and Challenges in Education Summary

Key Limitation	Description	Relevant Studies
Privacy and Data Security	Concerns related to data collection, security breaches, and misuse of student data.	Zuboff (2019)
Technological Inequality	Disparities in access to technology, exacerbating educational inequalities.	Floridi et al. (2018)
Over-Dependence on Technology	Potential overuse leads to students' reliance on automated solutions and decreased critical thinking skills.	Suriano et al. (2025)
Reduced Human Interaction	Diminished teacher-student interactions and loss of teacher's role as a primary facilitator.	Zhai et al. (2023)

Source: Processed by researchers

The limitations of AI educational contexts extend beyond immediate technical concerns and delve into deeper socio-ethical issues. Privacy and data security are among the most pressing challenges, as AI systems often require large volumes of personal and academic data to function effectively. This data is used for refining algorithms and personalizing learning experiences, but it introduces significant risks related to data breaches and potential misuse. The implications of these risks are highlighted by (Zuboff, 2019), who emphasized the perils of pervasive data collection without stringent safeguards. Ensuring robust data protection frameworks and compliance with privacy laws, such as the General Data Protection Regulation (GDPR), is critical for preventing unauthorized access and protecting student information. Failure to do so not only jeopardize data security but also erodes trust in the educational system's use of advanced technologies.

Moreover, disparities in technological access contribute to unequal educational opportunities. AI tools can amplify existing inequalities by favoring schools and regions with better technological infrastructure, leaving behind students from underserved or remote areas (Floridi et al., 2018). This digital divide means that while some students benefit from sophisticated AI-driven learning tools, others

may struggle with outdated resources or lack access altogether. Addressing this disparity requires a comprehensive strategy involving government support, public-private partnerships, and community engagement to ensure equitable distribution of technology and resources.

Another significant limitation of AI in education is the risk of fostering dependence on technology, potentially diminishing essential interpersonal interactions between teachers and students. (Suriano et al., 2025) argued that the overuse of AI could lead to reduced opportunities for students to develop critical thinking and independent problem-solving skills, as they may become accustomed to relying on automated systems for immediate solutions. This dependency can also weaken the role of teachers as active facilitators of learning, as their position shifts towards managing and interpreting AI outputs rather than engaging directly with students. Preserving teacher-student relationships and interactive learning environments is vital to sustaining holistic educational development.

The challenge for educators lies in striking a balance between integrating AI and maintaining their roles as motivators, mentors, and decision-makers. (Zhai et al., 2023) emphasized the importance of maintaining human interaction in the classroom, which nurtures emotional connections and resilience among students. This human-centric approach encourages not only cognitive growth but also social and emotional learning, which AI cannot replicate effectively. Integrating AI as a tool rather than a replacement preserves the irreplaceable aspects of teaching, ensuring that education remains a deeply human endeavor enriched, rather than overshadowed, by technology.

AI and Creativity: Opportunities and Constraints

Table 3. AI and Creativity in Education Summary

Aspect	Description	Relevant Studies
Facilitation of Creative Processes	AI tools like Amper Music automate tasks, enabling focus on refining outputs.	Erpelding et al. (2024)
Imitation of Human Creativity	AI can mimic certain creative outputs but lacks emotional and cultural depth.	Boden (2016), Colton & Wiggins (2012)
Impact on Skill Development	Overreliance on AI may reduce opportunities for independent thinking and original ideation.	Boden (2016)
Role of Educators	Educators should integrate AI as an enhancement, preserving human creativity and insight.	Colton & Wiggins (2012)

Source: Processed by researchers

AI's influence on creativity in education represents both an exciting frontier and a source of critical debate. The advent of AI-powered creative tools has allowed educators and students to approach artistic and intellectual projects in innovative ways. Technologies such as Amper music and Jukin Media exemplify how AI can facilitate and accelerate the creative process by automating complex tasks like composing music or editing videos, thus freeing users to concentrate on customization and refining their outputs (Erpelding et al., 2024). This capability fosters a more efficient workflow, enabling learners to experiment with various forms of expression and receive immediate feedback on their work.

However, there is a growing consensus that while AI can replicate certain components of creativity, it does not embody the true essence of human creative thought. AI algorithms excel at recognizing patterns, combining pre-existing data, and producing content that may appear original. Yet, these outputs often lack the depth and emotional significance imbued by human creators who draw from personal experiences, intuition, and cultural understanding (Boden, 2016). This limitation suggests that while AI-generated content can be impressive, it often lacks the context and subtlety necessary for true artistic innovation. (Colton & Wiggins, 2012) argue that creativity is not only about generating novel ideas but also about understanding their relevance and meaning, a trait still exclusive to human cognition.

The implications of AI in creative education also extend to skill development and intellectual growth. There is concern that students may become overly dependent on AI tools, which could limit their engagement in the essential processes of brainstorming, problem-solving, and critical analysis. If AI solutions are used without careful moderation, students may miss the opportunity to cultivate their unique creative voices and the resilience that comes from navigating the challenges of original thought. This dependency on AI may inadvertently hinder their ability to think independently and develop nuanced, self-driven projects that showcase their skills and perspectives.

Educators play a vital role in navigating this balance by integrating AI as a complementary tool rather than the focal point of the creative process. AI should serve to enhance educational experience by enabling students to push the boundaries of what they can achieve while preserving the central

importance of human insights and interpretative skills. By fostering environments where students use AI to augment their creative work, rather than replace their efforts, educators can maintain a healthy synergy that promotes innovation without compromising the development of core creative capabilities. This approach encourages learners to see AI as a partner in exploration, allowing them to leverage technology while still engaging deeply with their creative instincts.

Human-AI Collaboration in Education: Communication Perspectives and Ethical Implications

The collaboration between humans and AI in education embodies a transformative shift that redefines how learning experiences are designed, delivered, and evaluated. This symbiotic relationship offers opportunities to harness the best of human creativity and AI's analytical power to create personalized, effective educational environments. AI's potential for processing and analyzing vast amounts of data enables educators to gain insights that were previously unattainable, facilitating targeted interventions and more adaptive learning experiences (Luan et al., 2020). The promise of AI lies not only in enhancing individual student performance but also in supporting differentiated instruction that caters to diverse learning style and needs.

However, from a communication studies perspective, this collaboration poses significant challenges that extend beyond technical and instructional issues to the relational dynamics between technology, educators, and students. The nuanced role of communication in this relationship is critical; effective implementation of Ai requires transparent communication channels that clearly define the role of AI tools and the educator's authority in guiding the learning process. Educators need to articulate their interpretation of AI-generated insights to students, creating a shared understanding that balances technological guidance with human-centric teaching. This balance reinforces trust and mitigates any perception that human judgement is being sidelined in favor of machine logic.

Ethical considerations play an essential role in this dialogue. AI's involvement in collecting and processing student data necessitates adherence to strict privacy standards (Floridi et al., 2018). Ensuring that students and parents are aware of how data is used, and maintaining open communication must address the potential biases that AI systems can inherit from their training data. Educators need to be vigilant in assessing AI outputs, applying their judgement to ensure that AI's recommendations align with fair and inclusive practices. The discussion on data ethics should be a collaborative one, engaging stakeholders, including students, to create a shared understanding of the technology's strengths and limitations.

Training programs for educators must emphasize not only technical proficiency but also critical thinking and communication skills that allow them to explain, question, and adjust AI outputs as necessary. This comprehensive approach ensures that teachers remain active facilitators in the educational process, capable of leveraging AI while maintaining their pedagogical authority. From a communication standpoint, this empowers educators to play a dual role: as interpreters of data-driven recommendations and as conveyors of empathy and adaptability in the classroom. By doing so, the teaching process remains dynamic and interactive, fostering a learning environment where human judgement is amplified rather than diminished by AI (Zuboff, 2019).

A key concern in human-AI collaboration is the potential erosion of agency -where decision-making becomes overly reliant on AI systems at the cost of human discretion. Communication studies highlight the importance of maintaining human agency by ensuring that educators are not passive recipients of AI outputs but are involved in a dialogue with technology. This collaborative approach means questioning and refining AI's contributions, thus upholding the integrity of teaching and ensuring that educational process reflects shared values. Critical oversight helps counteract biases embedded within AI systems and promotes a balanced integration that values human experiences as much as computational efficiency.

While AI offers substantial potential for enriching educational outcomes, the approach to its implementation must be guided by careful consideration of ethical, communicative, and relational factors. Educators must be equipped not just with technological know-how but with the skills to interpret AI-driven insights and communicate them effectively to students, preserving the essential human elements of trust, empathy, and adaptability in teaching. The successful use of AI in education, therefore, hinges on a strategy that maintains human oversight, prioritizes clear and ethical communication, and promotes a balanced partnership where technology enhances but does not replace the fundamental human aspects of education.

4. Conclusions

The conclusion of this study underscores that while AI has demonstrated significant advancements in domains such as data analysis, pattern recognition, and the automation of routine tasks, it still falls short of replicating the full spectrum of human intelligence. Specifically, the inherent limitations of AI are most evident in areas requiring human intuition, emotional understanding, and

ethical judgement. These findings align with the broader discourse on the boundaries of AI's capabilities, reinforcing the argument that, despite its growing sophistication, AI is unlikely to fully replicate the nuanced cognitive and affective dimensions of human thought. This suggests that while AI's potential to enhance educational processes is substantial, its role will remain that of an augmentative tool rather than a replacement of human educators.

The implications of these findings are multifaceted. For educational institutions, the integration of AI should be pursued with the understanding that AI is best employed to support and amplify human-led instruction, not to supplant it. The reliance on AI can streamline administrative tasks, personalize learning experiences, and assist in the early identification of students' academic challenges, but it must be strategically balanced with the human elements of teaching -such as empathy, adaptability, and moral guidance- that AI cannot replicate. This balance is crucial to preserve the depth of educational interactions and to ensure that learning environments remain centered around human development and social values.

Despite its benefits, this study recognizes the limitations that come with the deployment of AI in education. The challenges of privacy concern, potential biases in data-driven recommendations, and the risks of over-reliance on technology pose significant obstacles. Addressing these issues requires a robust framework that includes stringent data protection policies, critical oversight by educators, and comprehensive training programs that equip teachers to effectively integrate AI without compromising their roles as primary facilitators of learning. The contributions of this study lie in its emphasis on maintaining human agency and the ethical implications of human-AI collaboration, presenting a holistic perspective that extends beyond technical considerations to include social and ethical dimensions.

Future research should continue to explore the evolving relationship between AI and human intelligence, particularly focusing on how AI technologies can be designed to complement rather than compete with human capabilities. Investigating methods to enhance the interpretability and transparency of AI systems will be critical in fostering trust and ensuring that educators can use these tools responsibly. Additionally, longitudinal studies that assess the long-term impact of AI on both educational outcomes and cognitive development in students will be valuable in shaping future educational strategies. By maintaining a focus on human-centric approaches and addressing potential pitfalls, subsequent research can contribute to a more balanced and effective integration of Ai in education, safeguarding the values and unique attributes that define human learning.

Reference

Abulibdeh, A., Zaidan, E., & Abulibdeh, R. (2024). Navigating the confluence of artificial intelligence and education for sustainable development in the era of industry 4.0: Challenges, opportunities, and ethical dimensions. *Journal of Cleaner Production*, *437*, 140527. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jclepro.2023.140527

Anantrasirichai, N., & Bull, D. (2022). Artificial intelligence in the creative industries: A review. *Artificial Intelligence Review*, *55*(1), 589–656. https://doi.org/10.1007/s10462-021-10039-7

Boden, M. A. (2016). *AI: Its nature and future.* Oxford University Press.

Brown, C. C., & Bell, S. S. (2018). *Librarian's guide to online searching: Cultivating database skills for research and instruction.* Bloomsbury Publishing USA.

Casselman, M. D., Eichler, J. F., & Atit, K. (2021). Advancing multimedia learning for science: Comparing the effect of virtual versus physical models on student learning about stereochemistry. *Science Education*, *105*(6), 1285–1314.

Chen, L., Chen, P., & Lin, Z. (2020). Artificial intelligence in education: A review. *IEEE Explore*, *8*, 75264–75278. https://doi.org/10.1109/ACCESS.2020.2988510.

Colton, S., & Wiggins, G. A. (2012). Computational creativity: The final frontier?. In *ECAI 2012* (pp. 21–26). IOS Press.

Erpelding, C., Beck, J., Swerzenski, J. D., & Brecheisen, T. (2024). Forum on Artificial Intelligence. *Journal of Film and Video*, *76*(1), 44–55.

Filgueiras, F. (2024). Artificial intelligence and education governance. *Education, Citizenship and Social Justice*, *19*(3), 349–361. https://doi.org/10.1177/17461979231160674

Floridi, L., Cowls, J., Beltrametti, M., Chatila, R., Chazerand, P., Dignum, V., & Vayena, E. (2018). AI4People—An ethical framework for a good AI society: Opportunities, risks, principles, and recommendations. *Minds and Machines*, *28*, 689–707.

George, A. S. (2024). The Evolution of Economic Models: From Knowledge to Intuition and Optimization. *Partners Universal Multidisciplinary Research Journal*, *1*(2), 1–25. https://doi.org/10.5281/zenodo.12746525

Halkiopoulos, C., & Gkintoni, E. (2024). Leveraging AI in e-learning: Personalized learning and adaptive assessment through cognitive neuropsychology—A systematic analysis. *Electronics*, *13*(18), 3762. https://doi.org/10.3390/electronics13183762

Hassani, H., Silva, E. S., Unger, S., TajMazinani, M., & Mac Feely, S. (2020). Artificial intelligence (AI) or intelligence augmentation (IA): What is the future? *AI*, *1*(2), 143–155. https://doi.org/10.3390/ai1020008

Kim, J., Lee, H., & Cho, Y. H. (2022). Learning design to support student-AI collaboration: Perspectives of leading teachers for AI in education. *Education and Information Technologies*, *27*(5), 6069–6104. https://doi.org/10.1007/s10639-021-10831-6

Luan, H., Geczy, P., Lai, H., Gobert, J., Yang, S. J., Ogata, H., & Tsai, C. C. (2020). Challenges and future directions of big data and artificial intelligence in education. *Frontiers in Psychology*, *11*, 580820. https://doi.org/10.3389/fpsyg.2020.580820

Markham, A. N., Tiidenberg, K., & Herman, A. (2018). Ethics as Methods: Doing Ethics in the Era of Big Data Research—Introduction. *Social Media + Society*, *4*(3), 2056305118784502. https://doi.org/10.1177/2056305118784502

Mousavinasab, E., Zarifsanaiey, N. R., Niakan Kalhori, S., Rakhshan, M., Keikha, L., & Ghazi Saeedi, M. (2021). Intelligent tutoring systems: A systematic review of characteristics, applications, and evaluation methods. *Interactive Learning Environments*, *29*(1), 142–163.

Scherer, M. U. (2015). Regulating artificial intelligence systems: Risks, challenges, competencies, and strategies. *Harvard Journal of Law & Technology*, *29*(2), 353.

Singh, A., Saxena, R., & Saxena, S. (2024). The Human Touch in the Age of Artificial Intelligence: A Literature Review on the Interplay of Emotional Intelligence and AI. *Asian Journal of Current Research*, *9*(4), 36–50. http://dx.doi.org/10.56557/ajocr/2024/v9i48860

Suriano, R., Plebe, A., Acciai, A., & Fabio, R. A. (2025). Student interaction with ChatGPT can promote complex critical thinking skills. *Learning and Instruction*, *95*, 102011. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.learninstruc.2024.102011

Wooldridge, M. (2021). *A brief history of artificial intelligence: What it is, where we are, and where we are going.* Flatiron Books.

Zhai, X. S., Chu, X. Y., Chen, M., Shen, J., & Lou, F. L. (2023). Can Edu-Metaverse reshape virtual teaching community (VTC) to promote educational equity? An exploratory study. *IEEE Transactions on Learning Technologies*, *16*(6), 1130–1140. https://doi.org/10.3390/su15097280

Zuboff, S. (2019). 'We make them dance': Surveillance capitalism, the rise of instrumentarian power, and the threat to human rights. *In Human rights in the age of platforms,* (pp. 3-51.). The MIT Press.